WINSTON & STRAWN

North America Europe Asia

200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 T +1 212 294 6700 F +1 212 294 4700

JEFFREY L. KESSLER Partner 212-294-4698 jkessler@winston.com

August 25, 2015

VIA ECF

The Honorable Richard Berman United States District Court for the Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: National Football League Management Council v. National Football League Players Association, 1:15-cv-05916-RMB-JCF (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Berman:

We do not intend to make a point-by-point response to the NFL's belated letter submission. The NFLPA and Mr. Brady's prior briefing addresses each of the League's arguments and provides additional authorities that the NFL yet again ignores (*e.g.*, *Peterson*, Supreme Court authority that CBA arbitration decisions are part and parcel of the CBA itself, and evident partiality decisions dealing with sports league Commissioners serving as arbitrators). These authorities, however, are not all that the NFL's submission attempts to sweep under the rug.

For example, Mr. Nash's letter also ignores the undisputed fact that the CBA requires advance notice of discipline and its consequences (Goodell himself testified to this in *Rice*), as well as a fair and consistent basis for imposing discipline (same), making the NFLPA's essence-of-the-agreement authorities directly on point. The NFL further ignores the fact that the Award

Case 1:15-cv-05916-RMB-JCF Document 43 Filed 08/25/15 Page 2 of 2

WINSTON &STRAWN

August 25, 2015

Page 2

violates the express fine amounts that were collectively bargained by the parties and set forth in

the Player Policies for equipment violations of this type—just like in the cases dealing with

violations of express CBA terms. In addition, the NFL's submission ignores how the denial of

fundamental fairness in the arbitration at issue here so closely resembles the denials of fair

process in the decisions we presented to the Court. Indeed, as the Court observed, the failure to

provide testimony from Mr. Pash concerning his edits to the Wells Report, the summary denial

of Mr. Brady's delegation argument without hearing any evidence, and the denial of the Paul,

Weiss investigative files all caused substantial prejudice to the NFLPA's and Mr. Brady's

undisputed right to a fundamentally fair hearing.

The Union may have agreed to Mr. Goodell serving as arbitrator under Article 46, but it

did not agree he could abdicate his responsibility as an arbitrator under the LMRA and FAA and

conduct fundamentally unfair proceedings in which he cast aside *undisputed* CBA requirements,

adjudicated his own conduct, and issued an unprincipled arbitration award based on his unilateral

notions of industrial policy. This is exactly the type of "extreme" case that even the NFL now

concedes the Court has the power to vacate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Jeffrey L. Kessler

Jeffrey L. Kessler

cc:

David L. Greenspan, Esq.

Robert H. Pees, Esq.

Daniel L. Nash, Esq.

Stacey Eisenstein, Esq.